



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,138	12/16/2005	Peter Marx	05146180	9429
26565	7590	08/21/2007	EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP			WEINSTEIN, LEONARD J	
71 S. WACKER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3746	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/21/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,138	MARX, PETER	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leonard J. Weinstein	3746		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2005.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/05.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 7-13, and 16-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sertier 6,123,511. Sertier teaches all the limitations as claimed for a delivery unit in a fuel tank including: a fuel pump 30 arranged in a baffle 20 and an ejector 40 for delivering fuel into the baffle 20, the ejector 40 having a mixing tube 49 arranged essentially vertically, wherein a deviation 60 for guiding fuel delivered by the ejector 40 into the baffle 20 is arranged at an outlet 47 of the mixing tube 49 of the ejector 40; a deviation 60 further comprises a curve, as defined by elements 61-63, having one partial region 61 being arranged above the outlet 47 of the mixing tube 49 and another partial region, elements 62 and 63, being arranged above the baffle 20; a deviation 60 further comprises two curves, elements 62 and 63, adjacent to each other, via element 61, the curves, elements 62 and 63, having regions adjacent to each other being arranged above the mixing tube 49, as shown in figure 1, and having free ends, as portion of element 60 disposed over element 20 and partially defining element 23 and being an end piece of element 60, protruding laterally over the mixing tube 49; a deviation 60 is arranged on the baffle 20, as the left side of element 60 is connected with the leftmost vertical wall of element 20; a deviation 60 is arranged on the fuel pump 30, as element 60 is connected to element 20 which is mounted onto element 30 via a connection to element 22; deviation 60 is manufactured in one piece with a component 22 of the fuel pump 30, as element 22 forms a

Art Unit: 3746

connection with elements 20 and 30, wherein element 20 is connected to element 60 as discussed; a mixing tube 49 of the ejector 40 is designed together with the fuel pump 30 as a constructional unit, as element 49 of element 40 forms a connection with element 20 connected to element 22 which connects with element 30 as discussed, capable of being preassembled; a deviation 60 forms a constructional unit, as element 22 of element 20 forms a connection between elements 20 and 30, with a component 22 of the fuel pump 30; and a component 22 is a fuel pump 30 cover, as element 22 is a horizontal wall covering element 20 and disposed on top of element 30; a deviation 60 is arranged on the baffle 20, as element 60 is connected to element 20 on the left most vertical wall of element 20 as shown in figure 1; and a deviation 60 is arranged on a fuel pump 30, as element 60 forms a connection with element 20 which is connected to element 22, which connects with element 30 as discussed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3746

5. Claim 6, 14-15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sertier 6,123,511. Sertier discloses the claimed invention except for a deviation is designed as a separate component, which is to be fastened to a fuel pump or to the baffle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a deviation member as a separate component capable of being fastened to a baffle or a fuel pump, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are cited on form 892 herewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard J. Weinstein whose telephone number is 571-272-9961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



JW



ANTHONY D. STASHICK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700